

**PRIVATE-CONFIDENTIAL-PURSUANT  
GLOBAL POSTMASTER GENERAL Jurisdiction**

.....

Plain-Simple-English: *Article 94 Statutory regulations* in force within [“the Kingdom”] shall not be applicable if such application is in conflict with provisions of treaties or of resolutions by international institutions that are binding on all persons:

<https://www.ohchr.org/EN/ProfessionalInterest/Pages/CCPR.aspx>

Plain-Simple-Counting-Systems

Fit-for Purpose

Banking on Demand

GLOBAL POSTMASTER GENERAL DISTRICT JURISDICTION COURT

PLAINTIFFS:

- 1) M..... L.
- 2) D.....A.
- 3) M.....K.
- 4) T.....N.

DEFENDANT: ©P.....™

The Living Man of the house of ©: P .....™,  
 Only Authorized Representative for:  
 ©P.....™ and all derivatives thereof,  
 c/o [“22....., Netherlands”]  
 Lat., Long. 52....., 8.....,

To: M..... L.....  
 In the function of ..... of the The Hague Courthouse,  
 Acting as M..... in surname  
 Functional as: mr. M.....L.....  
 Representative Capacity: Gov. Official/Rijksambtenaar or True Judge?  
 And all other affiliated and subsidiary companies  
 Prins Clauslaan 60  
 2595 AJ 's-Gravenhage  
 Global-Postmaster-General Jurisdiction:

Note: The post office was established before the seat of the Government. The UPU unites member countries into a single worldwide postal territory.

Demand: Original Iodial claim State of the Netherlands ”RIJKSOVERHEID” and Ministry of Justice UPU-Postmaster General name-seat registration of the corporation, which is represented from the original royal federal-archive register.

Jurisdiction: Post-Nullification contract is the facto, one cannot be charged under one jurisdiction, and defend under another, that’s why –I- create closure in contract expressing factually-de facto under which jurisdiction the case shall be tried.

Attachment: 2 documents enclosed: authorized Live-Life-claim; Addendum Dictionary

A cordial and warm welcome to you and all your staff. I hope this letter finds you and your staff fit and healthy and **Fit-For-Purpose**.

To the Living Man or Woman,

Dear M....., in representative capacity of non-biased, trustworthy government official,

Regarding The Sharing and Infringement Of My Copy-Right Name, all my data is confidential privacy to Me, and I OWN perfect lodial title in unalienable Birth Rights.

**Banking on Demand: in Trust position with my legal fiction, I AM always the Trustee and the all-capital NAME is the Beneficiary and My property as well (contract no. 3SRPKS8803....., dd. .. Aug. 2020).**

I: P....., Living Man, **contract no. 3SRPKS4787..... dd. .. aug. 2020**, am informing you about the law suit that was held on Wednesday, September 2<sup>nd</sup> 2020 at 11:00h with your docket number 8488... \ RL EXPL 20-7..., while claiming a legal title ownership on the beneficial name of P..... and all derivatives thereof.

My Motion to compel disclosure of identity from M.....L. and D.....A. with license, BAR-certificate, mandate in power of attorney, (+) contract: jurisdiction

Under Oath on Bible, Yahuah (YHWH), Sovereign Constitutional Netherlands,

Are you in representative capacity of?

- a) A German membership state since 1945
- b) A true Sovereign Dutch Kingdom
- c) An illegal Anti-Referendum 2005 art 93 Sr EU-Nation

Rule 194 Request for disclosure: Evidence Code 1042 EC

- your full Name as a Living Man or Woman and all persons involved in this case
- your representative capacity you are operating under: Judge or Government Official (Rijksambtenaar)?
- your jurisdiction & causal authority derives from?
- your affiliations & BAR Oath to the British Accredited Register under the British Crown
- your job description
- your guaranteed non-biased with no conflict of interest word of TRUST; can you write that down in black on white, signed with wet ink and with 2 witnesses with a copy sent to me
- do you accept My status as a Living Man, if not where does your authorization to deny my status come from
- your official claim, power of attorney, mandate of immunity?



**Fact-1:** This whole court case is based upon a non juridified indictment (no wet ink signatures D.A. nor by Bailiffs} T.....N, CEO F..... Bailiffs-Gerechtsdeurwaarders acting in assumption as Trustees against **'the' legal fiction NAME, P.....**

**Statement-1:** You, M.....K. and T.....N., initiated this law suit against 'the' legal Name on behalf of M.....K. (in function of CEO O..... NV)?

**Evidence-1:**

Part XXV. Deception: ('bedrog' in Dutch)=Criminal Code

Section 326 sr

1. Any person who, with the intention of benefiting himself or another person unlawfully, either by assuming a false name or a false capacity, or by cunning manoeuvres, or by a tissue of lies, induces a person to hand over any property, to render a service, to make available data, to incur a debt or relinquish a claim, shall be guilty of fraud and shall be liable to a term of imprisonment not exceeding four years or a fine of the fifth category.

Article 6:228 Fundamental mistake: ('dwaling' in Dutch): Civil Code

- 1. An agreement which has been entered into under the influence of a mistake with regard to the facts or legal rights and which would not have been concluded by the mistaken party if he would have had a correct view of the situation is voidable:
  - a. if the mistake is caused by information given by the opposite party, unless this party could assume that the agreement would be concluded even without this information;
  - b. if the opposite party, in view of what he knew or ought to have known about this mistake, should have informed the mistaken party about his error;
  - c. if the opposite party, at the moment on which the agreement was entered into, had the same incorrect assumption as the mistaken party, unless he could have believed that the mistaken party, if this party had known the mistake, still would have entered into the agreement.
- 2. A nullification on the ground of a fundamental mistake cannot be based on a mistake which is exclusively related to a fact that, at the moment on which the agreement was entered into, still had to happen (fact in future) or that should remain for account of the mistaken party in view of the nature of the agreement, the general principles of society (common opinion) or the circumstances of the case.

1A- M.....K., CEO O..... NV bypassed Me as the Living Man: P....., ending contract with him in his role as O..... CEO, before the end of the year 2018, according to the duration of the healthcare contract that needs novation every calendar year under the condition that I consent, which I did not. The only contract that remained was a repayment arrangement for some remaining invoices, agreed upon in a telephone call and later put on paper. This contract also ended trough a final payment I made, dated ..... 2020, that was agreed upon with an O.....-employee..

This repayment arrangement contract on the NAME of my legal fiction was sold by M..... to T....., because of a delay in my payments caused by a lack of cooperation from the side of ..... NV (they refused to end my healthcare contract upon my request through registered postal services).

Therefore the contract is now owned by T..... of which fact I was not notified and this contract held by T..... was never shown to me nor was the transfer of assignment from M..... to T.....

1B- knowing that M..... had sold the contract to T....., a contract that I already had ended, both of them, and therefore was non-existing!

1C- This is forgery (no contract), swindle (assumption there is a contract) and deceit (attempting to make me a joinder in this forgery), Criminal Code Section 140

2. Participation in an organization which has as its purpose the commission of serious offences shall be punishable by a term of imprisonment not exceeding six years or a fine of the fifth category.

The law determines whether the other person accepts or does not accept this action. Unlawful act is acting against that right. Annulment of legal acts is stated on the grounds described in art 3:44 BW

If by my personal statement in 3:33 BW (my right use of will) destroys on indication of speech and

statement of those legal grounds therein, then according to Dutch Civil code Art 3:44, Art 3:49 and 3:50 BW this will come into effect.

Dutch Civil Code Article 3:33 Will (intention) expressed through a statement

A juridical act requires the will (intention) of the acting person to establish a specific legal effect, which will (intention) has to be expressed through a statement of the acting person.

Right use of will: <https://www.rightuseofwill.com> :

LAW of Obligations: Article 6:162 Definition of a 'tortious act'

- 1. A person who commits a tortious act (unlawful act) against another person that can be attributed to him, must repair the damage that this other person has suffered as a result thereof.

- 2. As a tortious act is regarded a violation of someone else's right (entitlement) and an act or omission in violation of a duty imposed by law or of what according to unwritten law has to be regarded as proper social conduct, always as far as there was no justification for this behavior.

- 3. A tortious act can be attributed to the person committing the tortious act if it results from his fault or from a cause for which he is accountable by virtue of law or generally accepted principles (common opinion).

1- Illegal act-lid-2

2- Accountability acting person-lid-3

3- Damage restitution-lid-1

4- Causal connexion-lid-1

5- Relativity 6.163 BW and 6.170-174

TITLE 18 – 1341 (Frauds & Swindles),

TITLE 18 – 1342 (fictitious name), A.u.S.C.5 (Freedom of Information Act 5 U.S.C. § 552)(Due Process),

A.u.S.C.11, A.u.S.C.14, art. 44 SR (abuse of power by governmental official)

**Fact-2:** I am a living human being with a live-life born record under Postmaster General Jurisdiction.

**Statement-2:** Any other assumptions/presumptions cease to have any property!

**Canon 2056 ([http://opg.me/Trust Its the Secret Trust The law.pdf](http://opg.me/Trust%20Its%20the%20Secret%20Trust%20The%20law.pdf))**

Given the private secret trusts of the private central banks are created on false presumptions, when a man or woman makes clear their Live Borne Record and claim over their own name, body, mind and soul, any such trust based on such false presumptions ceases to have any property.

**Canon 2057 ([http://opg.me/Trust Its the Secret Trust The law.pdf](http://opg.me/Trust%20Its%20the%20Secret%20Trust%20The%20law.pdf))**

Any Administrator or Executor that refuses to immediately dissolve a Cestui Que (Vie) Trust, upon a Person establishing their status and competency, is guilty of fraud and fundamental breach of their fiduciary duties requiring their immediate removal and punishment. Motu Proprio 11-july 2013!!

**Evidence-2:** This is babylonian gibberish 'double dutch' (knowing I am a Living Man, pretending I am a legal fiction and acting thereon)

Here we see that identity fraud is being committed. To place a Living Man/Woman at the same suveran level as a natural person, which is a mask or role for a Man/Woman in his/her official capacity of a certain office bound under contract, is identity fraud. To place a Living Man/Woman at the same suveran level as a legal fiction is equal to abduction or imprisonment, because the Living Man/Woman confronted with this crime cannot live an autonomous life with freedom of choice, human rights and self-responsibility.

Someone is a Man or Woman acting in a certain capacity as a person, EVERYTHING ELSE IS FICTION IN FANTASY. Which only applies in law when that fantasy is accepted by both parties as a condition for acting. Fiction is a game on condition.

Confusion arises when someone acts in public law under the wrong jurisdiction or believes that it can do this. In law this is called an unacceptable crossing of jurisdiction.

And legal action is acting in the rights of someone else. The law determines whether the other person accepts or does not accept this action. An unlawful acting is acting against these rights. Annulment of such legal action is stated in art 3:44 BW.

Even so by my personal statement in 3:33 BW (my right use of will) destroys, on indication of speech and statement, those legal grounds therein, according to art 3:49 and 3:50 BW.

TITLE 18 – 1342 (use of fictitious name), art. 3:44 BW (a legal act is voidable if effected by fraud), art. 231b SR (identity fraud by interchanging my Name as a Living Man with the NAME of my strawman/legal fiction pretending these names are identical and inter-changeable).

**Fact-3:** The Constitution has been changed several times after the first one called 'Staatsregeling voor het Bataafsche Volk in 1798'. Some of the most far reaching changes are related to the articles 60 and 120 of the constitution.

In article 60 of the constitution the members of the First and Second Chamber of the government need to take an oath before they can be sworn in to be faithful to the constitution. These people are responsible for the legislation, but at the same time denying constitutional review by the courts.

With this article judges can completely leave the Constitution out like there is no more constitution. Constitution of 1848 and Art 60 1953 Art 120 1983 en H5/d2 2002 Wim Kok has completely pushed aside the Constitution? <https://herstelpolitiek.wordpress.com/2012/08/03/de-vergiftiging-van-de-wereld-1>, Criminal Code Section 140

1. Participation in an organization which has as its purpose the commission of serious offences shall be punishable by a term of imprisonment not exceeding six years or a fine of the fifth category. Consequently no possibility for the accused to invoke article 120 of the constitution as a bridge to human rights in the UVRM. Herewith my/our human rights have been erased a second time (see fact 2).

**Statement-3:**

**3-1:**Judges are supposed to be impartial, non-biased, have no conflict of interest, can be trusted with integrity, according to their oath in article 2 of the constitution.

3-2: When colorable money is used in a court of law then that law is sub consequently colorable!

**Evidence-3:**

If the constitution is not applicable any more than judges cannot perform their duties with complete impartiality and in full conscience! M..... van de L. and D..... A. the laws and oaths prevent you from performing honorably and conscientiously, but still you proceed to convict me and other people who you do not consider to be men and women (see fact 2) and you are denying access of the accused to article 120 of the constitution.

Art. 3: 44 BW (a legal act is voidable if effected by fraud), art. 94 GW (international treaty, UVRM are superior over national legislation; see memo dir. Rijksvoorlichtingsdienst S.J. Nawijn datet May 16<sup>th</sup>, 2018), TITLE 18 – 1341 (Fraudes & Swindles),

Part XXIII. Extortion and Blackmail;

[http://www.ejtn.eu/PageFiles/6533/2014%20seminars/Omsenie/WetboekvanStrafrecht\\_ENG\\_PV.pdf](http://www.ejtn.eu/PageFiles/6533/2014%20seminars/Omsenie/WetboekvanStrafrecht_ENG_PV.pdf)

Section 317

1. Any person who, with the intention of benefitting himself or another unlawfully, compels a person by an act of violence or by threat of violence, to surrender any property belonging in whole or in part to that person or to a third party, or to incur a debt or relinquish a claim to a debt, or to make available data, shall be guilty of extortion and shall be liable to a term of imprisonment not exceeding nine years or a fine of the fifth category.

art. 317 SR (unlawfully favoring another by forcing someone to surrender goods or financial compensation by preventing me access to my - UVRM - human rights),

Part XXV. Deception

Section 326

1. Any person who, with the intention of benefitting himself or another person unlawfully, either by assuming a false name or a false capacity, or by cunning maneuvers, or by a tissue of lies, induces a person to hand over any property, to render a service, to make available data, to incur a debt or relinquish a claim, shall be guilty of fraud and shall be liable to a term of imprisonment not exceeding four years or a fine of the fifth category.

art. 326 SR (to unlawfully favor another, whether by assuming a false name or of a false capacity, or by cunning artifice, or by a tissue of fabrications, induces one to give up some good or financial compensation).

**Fact-4:** I was denied access to the Judge docked in courtroom by mandate of the usher who went in the court room where D..... A. held court.

**Statement-4:** I order you under postal offense by Motion of Mandate to sign your oath of office and present it as evidence into the court UPU-docket jurisdiction in which you no longer have immunity!

**Evidence-4:**

I was there with my two witnesses and the usher in time, before 11:00h, the time the court was to be held by a judge operating as a judge under the name of mr. D.... A. The usher told us the judge didn't know me or my case. The next day I received a letter in my mailbox to the name of my legal fiction which is not who I AM, but which is my legal person/competence to deal with contracts, that D.....A. acting as a judge had convicted me on all charges. This letter was dated the day the court hearing was scheduled and was actually held while denying me and my witnesses access to the court room and therefore a fair trial. Because I was not allowed access to the court hearing nor was the other party, O...-representative, present, you, D.....A. played the role of both parties as well as your own role.

How was your role as a judge, being impartial, established while playing several roles?

You pledged allegiance to article 6 of the European Convention on Human Rights (ECHR) that guarantees the right to a fair trial.

Art. 94 GW (international treaty, UVRM, over national legislation; see memo dir. Rijksvoorlichtingsdienst S.J. Nawijn dated May 16<sup>th</sup>, 2018

In case of digital court hearings article 19 DCCP further obliges the court to apply the principles of adversarial process. This applies for the first phase of this court hearing which was by submitting documents to the court under postmaster jurisdiction. I submitted two letters to M..... L. in the function of President of the courthouse including my evidence of life in the form of my Live-Life-Claim with My true Name as a Living Man: P.....

TITLE 42 – 1985 (1)(Conspiracy, Civil Rights), TITLE 42 – 1985 (2)(Obstruction of Justice),

TITLE 42 – 1985 (3)(Deprivation of Rights), TITLE 42 – 1983 CH.21 (Personal Injury), TITLE 42 – 1983 Note 39 (Deprivation of Rights), TITLE 42 – 1983 Note 333 (Time of Knowledge), TITLE 18 – CH 79 – 1621 and TITLE 28 – 1746 (Perjury of Oath), TITLE 18 – USCA -2384.

Art. 326 (to unlawfully favor another, whether by assuming a false name or of a false capacity, or by cunning tricks and traps, or by webs of fiction, induces one to give up some good or financial compensation). Part XXV, Deception Section 326

**Fact-5:** [“All the notifications from your court house in my mailbox were sent to the NAME of my strawman, my legal fiction P....., which is NOT who I Am as a Living Man with the Name: P.....”]

**Statement-5:**

**5-1:** There are neither obligations nor official announcements to be affiliated to mail in my mailbox.

5-2: Abusing the postal services to commit fraudulent deeds is a Postal Offence.

**Evidence-5:** Postal offences according to Section 1700 Desertion of Mails, Section 1702 Obstruction of Mail, Section 1703 Delay or Destruction of Mail. Desertion of mail, because you sent official, priority mail to my mailbox knowing the function of my mailbox as I had explained in my letters to you with reference to my Terms & Conditions on my website [www.burgerrechtsherstel.nl/algemene-voorwaarden](http://www.burgerrechtsherstel.nl/algemene-voorwaarden)

You kept doing this even after I informed you about my Terms & Conditions on my web page in my second letter to you. I do not check my mailbox very often, because my mailbox is intended for private or non-priority mail and random informational purposes only. This to prevent postal fraud and/or delay.

Official mail or official announcements must be sent to Me as priority mail, to Me delivered directly into

my hands with My Name on it as a Living Man : P....., with the letter being autographed by the sender with wet ink.

This is my second notification to you not to use my fictional name in any form of spelling. The third time you do this, you'll draw yourself as a Living Man into contract with me, The Living Man: P..... This is abuse of my copy-right NAME for fraudulent purposes. In this case all the claims mentioned in my former letters to you, my claims mentioned in my Terms & Conditions on my website as well as the legal penalty for privacy infringement will be enforced.

Obstruction and Delay of mail, because by deserting the letters that were sent from your court house with priority contents (by having your letters being delivered to my mailbox), before being delivered into my hands as registered mail, you deliberately took the chance that I wouldn't open your letters in time. This act was even worse by sending the letters from your court house to the NAME of my strawman. This is exactly what happened, therefore I couldn't act on your last letter with a conviction against me and herewith you, M..... L., denied me my right to appeal your decision in a higher court in a fraudulent way.

Article 6 of the European Convention on Human Rights (ECHR) guarantees the right to a fair trial. Art. 360 SR (falsification of books or records for the control of the Administration) because of the double book keeping you are maintaining on both the name of my strawman and the Name of the Living Man who I really Am. (Reference to the article in weekblad Wijdemeren by Ben Steenvoorden, dated January 7<sup>th</sup>, 2015 and Registration of 'De Nederlandse Staat BV' as a private company at Dunn & Bradstreet, D-U-N-S 40-200-0004), art. 326 (to unlawfully favor another, whether by assuming a false name or of a false capacity, or by cunning tricks and traps, or by webs of fiction, induces one to give up some good or financial compensation).

**Fact-6:** This is my third Motion in strike demand to id yourself, M..... L. in your representative capacity as ..... of the The Hague court house, to provide your membership id of the BAR-association and membership to a Freemason lodge!

**Statement-6:** Qui tacet consentire videtur, ubi loqui debuit ac potuit (He who is silent, when he ought to have spoken and was able to, is taken to agree). If I do not receive an answer from you within 14 days after the date on the post stamp of this letter, I will take your BAR membership and your Freemason membership to be a fact.

**Evidence-6:**

Part XXV. Deception : Criminal Code (= 'bedrog' in Dutch)

Section 326 sr

1. Any person who, with the intention of benefitting himself or another person unlawfully, either by assuming a false name or a false capacity, or by cunning maneuvers, or by a tissue of lies, induces a person to hand over any property, to render a service, to make available data, to incur a debt or relinquish a claim, shall be guilty of fraud and shall be liable to a term of imprisonment not exceeding four years or a fine of the fifth category.

Pretending to speak justice over people according to the oath you took to the Dutch crown when you took office at The Hague courthouse, while operating under foreign authority under contract is a crime, if not denied. In this case you would not have been authorized to operate as a judge!

This is valid according to art. 93 SR (to bring all or part of the Kingdom under foreign rule) and art. 94 SR (to destroy or unlawfully alter the constitutional form of government or the order of succession to the throne).

These offences have possibly national and international consequences. If my ability (article 1 GW) to have equal rights as all other people in this country have, is being taken away by you. You took away my human rights (UVRM), my civil rights (GW and BW) and you are interacting with me as if I Am my strawman/legal fiction, which in reality is my authorization/civil right to act lawfully under contract law. This can happen and probably has happened to many other people, putting an end to the rule of law in this country with unimaginable possible consequences all under your responsibility.

As stated in my Terms & Conditions on the website [www.burgerrechtsherstel.nl/algemene-voorwaarden](http://www.burgerrechtsherstel.nl/algemene-voorwaarden) lead times set by you are not valid, because any letter to my legal fiction name is a violation of me exercising my privacy rights and only are taken for notice. Any spelling of my legal fiction name is under copy-right and copy-claim and is not permitted to be used without my permission. My legal fiction NAME is forced on me through the registration of my birth certificate and has now become my property under contract number **3SRPKS880310243, dd. August 15<sup>th</sup> 2020**, but I Am not liable for any deficit on that NAME/strawman!

I AM ALIVE WITH A LIVE BORN RECORD AND I AM IN PERFECT LODIAL TITLE!

Because of the severity of this matter we have brought it to the attention of the Postmaster of the national postal jurisdiction, Herna Verhagen, CEO of the 'Universele Postdienst' as well as to the highest international postal jurisdiction, the Global Postmaster General: Russell-Jay: Gould.

Even so :Russell, is Postmaster over the UPU in Bern, Switzerland, Postmaster General over the Federal Postal Services in the US and Chief Judge over the Supreme Court in the US as well.

**Note:** The post office was established before the seat of the Government. The UPU unites member countries into a single worldwide postal territory.

RELIEF-RESTITUTION-RECOURSE-DAMAGE CLAIM DEMANDS:

BANKING ON DEMAND

- 1) A declatory judgment that the plaintiffs' acts, policies, and practices described herein violate defendants rights under the postmaster general jurisdiction.
- 2) Compensatory damages
- 3) Jury Trial on all issues triable by jury: 'Volkstribunaal' in Dutch
- 4) An order for removal of Professional License of All plaintiffs according to 18 USC paragr 1905
- 5) Such other relief as this postmaster court deems just, proper, and equitable.
- 6) Punitive damages for copyright infringement (art.31 copyright law)

RESTITUTION RECOURSE DAMAGE CLAIM DEMANDS ARE:

NOTICE OF DEFAULT AND IMMINENT LIABILITY CONCERNING TRESPASSING VIOLATIONS

SILENCE IS ACQUIESCENCE, AGREEMENT AND DISHONOR

THIS IS A SELF-EXECUTING CONTRACT

PS:

It's important to note that while God (our Creator) can reclaim the Rights he gave us (His creations) at any time, no other man (our equal) or government (our creation) can deprive us of our God-given Rights. By tricking Alfred into "becoming" ALFRED, my government-creation would become master over me, its own creator. The servant would become the master. The creation would own the creator. The "evil twin" would dominate the good.

However, we can voluntarily and individually surrender some or all of those Rights. You can't take my Rights, but I (as owner) can voluntarily give 'em away. In fact, I can even accidentally, unknowingly give them away – a reality government exploits heavily. In a similar sense, virtually all property is ultimately traceable (through a title search) back to its creator. The creator-creation principle is far more than a charming Biblical myth; it provides the bedrock on which virtually all civilizations, societies, and legal systems are built.

Unalienable rights cannot be confiscated by fiction or by a fictional person.  
Take that to the Bank, Make it real or else forget about it.

Herewith I want to speak a special word of appreciation for all the people who contributed to the level of excellence that is being expressed in this letter - the legal knowledge, spiritual awareness, knowledge of human nature and the sacredness of life as intended by The Almighty Creator, Yahuah (YHWH). They all made a tremendous effort and personal sacrifice to come to this level of understanding, although we all know that this is only a tip of the iceberg. I want to encourage all to take a quantum leap to the next level! For this court trial I am the spokesman for this group; another time someone else might take this role as spokesman or spokeswoman.

Signed & stamped under Global Postmaster General UPU jurisdiction

I: P..... am the author of this letter / document / contract, which is in plain simple English and Plain-Simple-Counting-Systems.

In case you want to contact me you can only do this in writing to me as the Living Man ©:  
P.....™ through registered mail with your name of the Living Man or Woman and autographed with wet ink. Your letters to the copyright Name of the Living Man who I Am may only contain questions, requests and/or propositions; these have to be fully transparent, non-demanding and without time leads. Any other way is a violation of my copy-right Name of the Living Man.

Any letter to my legal fiction in all CAPS NAME or any derivative thereof will be considered as a gross violation of the copy-right on that NAME and will result in a RESTITUTION RECOURSE DAMAGE CLAIM.

Yours sincerely,  
Live-life-claimant: 3SRPKS478702639  
Beneficiary  
No assured value, No liability. Errors &  
Omissions Accepted. All Rights Reserved.  
WITHOUT RECOURSE – NON-ASSUMPSIT  
Name:

.....  
Autograph-copyright-copyclaim  
Without Prejudice UCC 1-207

.....  
Witness-1

.....  
Witness-2

.....  
Witness-3